

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL**

Case No. **CV 85-4544-DMG (AGR_x)**

Date **October 16, 2019**

Title ***Jenny L. Flores, et al. v. William P. Barr, et al.***

Page **1 of 2**

Present: The Honorable **DOLLY M. GEE, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

KANE TIEN

Deputy Clerk

NOT REPORTED

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s)

None Present

Attorneys Present for Defendant(s)

None Present

**Proceedings: IN CHAMBERS - ORDER GRANTING MONITOR'S REQUEST FOR
EXTENSION OF SPECIAL MASTER/INDEPENDENT MONITOR TERM
[673]**

On October 5, 2018, the Court issued an Order Appointing Andrea Sheridan Ordin, Esq. as the Special Master/Independent Monitor (“Appointment Order”) and providing that the Monitor’s 12-month Initial Term began on October 17, 2018, and could be extended if, at least four weeks before the expiration of the Initial Term, any of the Parties and/or the Monitor filed with the Court a Notice requesting an extension of the Monitor’s term. [Doc. # 494.] On September 16, 2019, the Monitor filed a Notice to the Court requesting a six-month extension of her term (the “Notice of Extension”). [Doc. # 673.] The Notice of Extension describes the Monitor’s efforts to mediate, among many other matters, Plaintiffs’ Motion to Enforce [Doc. # 547-2] and *Ex Parte* Application for a Temporary Restraining Order [Doc. # 572], indicating specific and ongoing concerns regarding Defendants’ compliance with the *Flores* Settlement Agreement.

On September 25, 2019, Defendants filed a response to the Notice of Extension, noting Defendants’ belief that the Monitor’s term would expire if the *Flores* Agreement was terminated, but without any other objection to the requested six-month extension. [Doc. # 685.] On September 26, 2019, Plaintiffs filed a statement indicating that they support the six-month extension but believe a longer extension is required to achieve and maintain Defendants’ substantial compliance. [Doc. # 686.] On September 27, 2019, the Court held that the *Flores* Agreement remains in effect and has not been terminated and ordered Defendants to continue to comply with its terms. [Doc. ## 688, 690.]

For good cause shown, the Court hereby **APPROVES** the Monitor’s request for an extension of her term to **April 17, 2020** and further **ORDERS** that:

1. The “Terms and Extensions” provisions provided in Paragraph A.3 of the Appointment Order are modified such that the Parties and/or the Monitor may file, at least four (4)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES—GENERAL

Case No. CV 85-4544-DMG (AGR)

Date October 16, 2019

Title *Jenny L. Flores, et al. v. William P. Barr, et al.*

Page 2 of 2

weeks before the expiration of the six-month extension on April 17, 2020, a Notice requesting a further extension of the Monitor's term.

2. The Monitor and senior aides, with skill and experience comparable to the Monitor, shall be compensated at the hourly rate of \$ 275.00.
3. Except as modified herein, all other aspects of the Appointment Order, and the subsequent modifications thereto [Doc. ## 518, 533, 543, 563, 587, 591], remain in full force and effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.